M Asif Rahman

Public snapshot · 2026-09-14 · 8 collected posts

Overall quality grade withheld

Basic checklist coverage is not a quality score. Writing quality remains unscored while the new rubric is validated.

Observed response

Reactions + comments on up to eight collected posts



Counts reflect posts of different ages, not impressions or causal performance. Unknown is not zero. Full sources and findings follow.

M Asif Rahman

Public profile snapshot

https://www.linkedin.com/in/asif2bd

Retrieved 2026-09-14T19:21:01.322Z · 8 public posts · Profile: Bright Data · Posts: Bright Data

Profile evidence — quality grade withheld

Overall quality grade withheld. 45% legacy checklist coverage is not a quality score. Writing quality is unscored pending rubric validation.

Profile picture

Profile image supplied

The source supplied an image URL.

Suggestion: Choose a current, recognizable photo. Preview the circular crop at comment size and leave space around your head.

Image decodes and fits its display shape

Returned image: 200 × 200px. Dimensions and decoding were measured on the returned image, which may be a resized LinkedIn thumbnail. Original resolution, face framing, lighting and professional suitability were not assessed automatically.

Suggestion: Use a square original, check sharpness at full size, and preview the circular crop. A small provider thumbnail does not prove your original upload is low resolution.

Cover & visual identity

Cover image supplied

The source supplied an image URL.

Suggestion: Use a simple cover that says who you help and what you do. Keep important text clear of the profile-photo overlay.

Image decodes and fits its display shape

Returned image: 799 × 200px. Dimensions and decoding were measured on the returned image, which may be a resized LinkedIn thumbnail. Original resolution, face framing, lighting and professional suitability were not assessed automatically.

Suggestion: Preview your cover on desktop and mobile. Start with a 1584 × 396 canvas and keep the message readable without tiny text.

Name & identity

Readable display name

Source text: “M Asif Rahman”

Suggestion: Use the name people know you by, consistently across your profile and other professional channels. Single names and all writing systems are supported.

Name field stays focused on identity

Source text: “M Asif Rahman”

Suggestion: Move URLs, contact details and sales calls to action into About or Contact info. Keep your actual name unchanged.

Headline & positioning

Headline supplied

Not returned in readable form by the source.

Suggestion: State your role or specialty in the headline, using terms your intended reader understands.

Enough context to explain your work

Not returned in readable form by the source.

Suggestion: Use this structure: [role or specialty] · Helping [audience] achieve [outcome]. Replace every bracket with a truthful detail.

Headline is concise enough to scan

Not returned in readable form by the source.

Suggestion: Keep the headline focused on one main promise. Move your longer story into About.

About & descriptions

Readable introduction

Truncated source excerpt: “I've spent 22 years building WordPress infrastructure used by 7.5M+ websites. Now I'm…”

Suggestion: Lead with who you help, the problem you solve and the work you do today.

An introduction with supporting detail

Truncated source excerpt: “I've spent 22 years building WordPress infrastructure used by 7.5M+ websites. Now I'm…”

Suggestion: Add a specific example of your work, your approach, and the kinds of opportunities you welcome. Length is a structure check, not a quality guarantee.

Easy-to-scan paragraph structure

Truncated source excerpt: “I've spent 22 years building WordPress infrastructure used by 7.5M+ websites. Now I'm…”

Suggestion: Break About into short paragraphs: current focus, relevant proof, then how to connect.

A clear next step

Truncated source excerpt: “I've spent 22 years building WordPress infrastructure used by 7.5M+ websites.

Now I'm...”

Suggestion: End with one relevant next step, such as who should message you and what to include. This automated check recognizes English phrases and links; review other languages manually.

Experience & proof

Professional experience identified

No readable entries were returned. We cannot conclude this section is empty.

Suggestion: List relevant roles with an accurate title and organization. Connect them to the work you want to do next.

Experience includes useful descriptions

Not returned in readable form by the source.

Suggestion: For each relevant role, explain your responsibility, a concrete contribution, and an outcome you can substantiate. Do not invent numbers. Masked source descriptions need manual review.

Education & learning

Education or learning entry identified

No readable entries were returned. We cannot conclude this section is empty.

Suggestion: Add relevant education or structured learning you want to share. Name the institution or course accurately; formal degrees are not required for a strong professional story.

Learning context is described

Not returned in readable form by the source.

Suggestion: Describe your subject, course or qualification and one relevant project or skill. Use the full qualification name where helpful. We assess clarity, never institution prestige or degree level.

Location & context

Readable location

Source text: “Dubai”

Suggestion: Use an accurate city or region if you want it visible. Do not add a home address. Omitted provider data is not penalized.

Executive summary

M Asif Rahman's audit covers 8 public posts, including 8 with both reaction and comment counts. The measured median is 25.5 interactions. AI & building products is the most represented detected theme (7/8; themes can overlap). Start with a concrete follow-up to an existing subject, then test the opening and reply invitation across a consistent four-week sample.

Measured performance

Followers: 17,191. Posts: 8. Fully measured: 8.

Engagement by current followers: 0.15%. Average reactions: 24.4. Median reactions: 24.

Average comments: 1.6. Comments per 100 reactions: 6.7.

Observed cadence: 1.6 posts/week. Sample window: 31.2 days.

Strengths, watch points and context

STRENGTH: A usable personal baseline

8 of 8 posts have both public counts. Their median is 25.5 interactions. Compare future experiments with your own sample rather than an unrelated peer score.

Evidence: post 1, post 2, post 3, post 4, post 5, post 6, post 7, post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

STRENGTH: A concrete post to learn from

“AI has made building software dramatically easier.” has 35 visible interactions. That is 1.4× the sample median. Its topic and opening are candidates for a follow-up experiment; this does not identify why it performed.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

CONTEXT: Conversation is observable; quality is not

5 of 8 posts contain a question. Measured posts generated 6.7 comments per 100 reactions. Counts can include the author's replies and do not measure lead quality or sentiment.

Evidence: post 3, post 5, post 6, post 7, post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

CONTEXT: Understand the gaps in this sample

The median gap between sampled dates is 4.1 days; the longest is 11.3 days (7 intervals). Missing posts can exaggerate gaps, so this is not a complete publishing calendar.

Evidence: post 8, post 7, post 6, post 5, post 4, post 3, post 2, post 1. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Performance and publishing rhythm

Median visible interactions: 30 for the earliest 4 measured posts and 23.5 for the latest 4. This compares selected posts of different ages, not account growth.

Typical measured interactions: 25.5. Highest: 35.

Median gap 4.1 days; longest 11.3 days across 7 intervals.

2026-08-14T08:24:23.949Z: 24 reactions + 0 comments = 24 interactions.

2026-08-25T15:28:07.958Z: 34 reactions + 1 comments = 35 interactions.

2026-08-26T11:13:03.036Z: 29 reactions + 1 comments = 30 interactions.

2026-08-30T13:41:26.621Z: 29 reactions + 1 comments = 30 interactions.

2026-08-31T12:06:40.054Z: 20 reactions + 3 comments = 23 interactions.

2026-09-08T17:19:26.229Z: 24 reactions + 3 comments = 27 interactions.

2026-09-13T13:48:24.031Z: 12 reactions + 3 comments = 15 interactions.

2026-09-14T14:17:50.005Z: 23 reactions + 1 comments = 24 interactions.

UTC publishing days — descriptive, not recommended times:

Sun UTC: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 22.5, average 22.5 interactions.

Evidence: post 5, post 2. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Mon UTC: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 23.5, average 23.5 interactions.

Evidence: post 4, post 1. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Tue UTC: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 31, average 31 interactions.

Evidence: post 7, post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Wed UTC: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 30, average 30 interactions.

Evidence: post 6. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Fri UTC: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 24, average 24 interactions.

Evidence: post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Older posts have had more time to accumulate interactions. Different publication-day groups have different sample sizes and topics.

Content pillars and format performance

Overlapping English keyword themes, not semantic certainty:

AI & building products: 7 posts / 7 measured; median 27, average 26.3 interactions.

Evidence: post 1, post 2, post 3, post 4, post 5, post 6, post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Business & entrepreneurship: 3 posts / 3 measured; median 27, average 28.7 interactions.

Evidence: post 3, post 7, post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Marketing & audience: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 1, post 5. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Careers & leadership: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 24, average 24 interactions.

Evidence: post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Learning & practice: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 24, average 24 interactions.

Evidence: post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Source-reported formats; unknown is not text-only:

image: 5 posts / 5 measured; median 27, average 27.8 interactions.

Evidence: post 1, post 3, post 4, post 5, post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

text: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 19.5, average 19.5 interactions.

Evidence: post 2, post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

video: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 30, average 30 interactions.

Evidence: post 6. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Small or unequal groups cannot establish a winning format.

Hooks and writing structure

AR.bd is live. Built with AI, and made for AI to read, too.

Hook: Statement; 199 words; 11 paragraphs; final CTA not detected; question not detected.

Hashtags: #buildinpublic, #ai. Mentions: None detected.

AIScan has now run more than 3,400 scans.

Hook: Number-led; 217 words; 9 paragraphs; final CTA detected; question not detected.

Hashtags: None detected. Mentions: None detected.

I was checking my Replit profile for something else and noticed a badge I hadn't seen before.

Hook: Personal opening; 338 words; 13 paragraphs; final CTA detected; question detected.

Hashtags: #vibecoding, #ai, #buildinpublic, #replit, #claudecode, #lovable, #saas, #founders. Mentions: None detected.

My own website scored 75 out of 100 on my own speed scanner.

Hook: Number-led; 364 words; 24 paragraphs; final CTA not detected; question not detected.

Hashtags: #xspeed, #wordpress, #scan, #pagespeed, #gtmetrix. Mentions: None detected.

Before I told anyone our new speed scanner existed, I pointed it at our own sites.

Hook: Statement; 442 words; 29 paragraphs; final CTA not detected; question detected.

Hashtags: None detected. Mentions: None detected.

I needed a tool that didn't exist.

Hook: Personal opening; 399 words; 31 paragraphs; final CTA not detected; question detected.

Hashtags: None detected. Mentions: @asif2bd.

AI has made building software dramatically easier.

Hook: Statement; 287 words; 10 paragraphs; final CTA detected; question detected.

Hashtags: #selfhosting, #devops, #cloudhosting, #aiagents. Mentions: None detected.

গত পোস্টে জিজ্ঞেস করেছিলাম — কোন market-এর Business Route নিয়ে আলাদা করে লিখব?

Hook: Question; 433 words; 26 paragraphs; final CTA not detected; question detected.

Hashtags: None detected. Mentions: None detected.

Hook uses the first nonempty line. Paragraphs use blank-line breaks. Final CTA uses the last content line, ignoring tag-only lines, with question or action-phrase detection. Text rules can miss meaning and linked mentions.

Hashtag patterns

#ai: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 25.5, average 25.5 interactions.

Evidence: post 1, post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#buildinpublic: 2 posts / 2 measured; median 25.5, average 25.5 interactions.

Evidence: post 1, post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#aiagents: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 35, average 35 interactions.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#claudecode: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#cloudhosting: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 35, average 35 interactions.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#devops: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 35, average 35 interactions.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#founders: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#gtmetrix: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 23, average 23 interactions.

Evidence: post 4. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#lovable: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#pagespeed: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 23, average 23 interactions.

Evidence: post 4. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#replit: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#saas: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#scan: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 23, average 23 interactions.

Evidence: post 4. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#selfhosting: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 35, average 35 interactions.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#vibecoding: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 27, average 27 interactions.

Evidence: post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#wordpress: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 23, average 23 interactions.

Evidence: post 4. Full text and links are in Source posts.

#xspeed: 1 posts / 1 measured; median 23, average 23 interactions.

Evidence: post 4. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Up to 20 most-used tags. Each post is counted once per tag. Tags travel with topics and formats; their isolated effect cannot be measured.

Prioritized action plan

1. Develop a specific follow-up

Observation: The sample includes “AI has made building software dramatically easier.”. Its visible interaction total is 35.

Action: Build a follow-up to “AI has made building software dramatically easier.”. Add a concrete example, a decision you made and one lesson. Keep the format similar so topic development is the main experiment.

Potential impact: Test repeatable interest in an existing subject. Effort: Medium.

Metric to watch: Reactions and comments after the same seven-day window; compare with the baseline median

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

2. Test a sharper opening

Observation: Median text length is 351 words with 18.5 paragraphs. 3 of 8 openings are classified as statements.

Action: For the next post related to “AI has made building software dramatically easier.”, open with a specific problem and a concrete outcome you can substantiate. Move context into the second paragraph. Avoid invented results or curiosity gaps that the post does not resolve.

Potential impact: Improve clarity; any engagement effect remains to be tested. Effort: Low.

Metric to watch: Median interactions across at least three comparable posts per opening approach

Evidence: post 1, post 2, post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

3. Make the reply invitation concrete

Observation: 3 of 8 final content lines contain a detected question or action phrase; 5 posts contain a question anywhere. These are text rules, not semantic judgments.

Action: End one upcoming post with a focused trade-off question connected to ai & building products. Ask readers to share a decision and why. Read replies before deciding whether the discussion was useful.

Potential impact: Test whether a clearer invitation supports useful discussion. Effort: Low.

Metric to watch: Public comments per post and manual review of reply relevance

Evidence: post 2, post 3, post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

4. Choose a sustainable publishing experiment

Observation: 8 dated posts span 31.2 days. Observed cadence is 1.6 posts per week.

Action: Choose two slots per week for the four-week experiment below, or reduce the schedule if your capacity is lower. Keep the slots consistent and log every published post. Two slots are a planning choice, not a LinkedIn benchmark.

Potential impact: Create comparable records and a manageable learning loop. Effort: Medium.

Metric to watch: Planned versus published posts, time spent, and median interactions at seven days

Evidence: post 8, post 7, post 6, post 5, post 4, post 3, post 2, post 1. Full text and links are in Source posts.

5. Use tags and mentions with a purpose

Observation: 4 of 8 posts contain hashtags and 1 contain visible @mentions. #ai appears in 2 posts. LinkedIn may return linked mentions as plain text, so detection can undercount.

Action: Use relevant topic labels only when they clarify the subject. Mention people only when they contributed or are directly relevant. Test a consistent tagging approach on comparable posts; do not attribute performance changes to tags alone.

Potential impact: Keep context and attribution useful. Effort: Low.

Metric to watch: Median interactions for tagged/untagged samples, with sample sizes and topic differences

Evidence: post 1, post 3. Full text and links are in Source posts.

6. Test presentation without changing the subject

Observation: image: 5 posts (5 measured); text: 2 posts (2 measured); video: 1 posts (1 measured).

Action: Turn one idea related to “AI has made building software dramatically easier.” into a concise checklist or document post. Keep a similar topic and ask the same type of question. Label this a new format experiment, not a proven winning format.

Potential impact: Learn whether a different presentation helps explain the same idea. Effort: Medium.

Metric to watch: Public interactions at the same post age; at least three posts in each format before comparison

Evidence: post 1, post 2, post 3, post 4, post 5, post 6, post 7, post 8. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Basic content ideas

1. The next chapter

Follow up on “AI has made building software dramatically easier.”: what changed since publishing, what stayed difficult, and the next step. Only include outcomes you can verify.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

2. Show the process

Break the work behind “AI has made building software dramatically easier.” into three decisions. Add an example or screenshot you have permission to share.

Evidence: post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

3. A useful trade-off

Describe two approaches to ai & building products, explain your constraint, and ask which constraint readers face.

Evidence: post 1, post 2, post 3, post 4, post 5, post 6, post 7. Full text and links are in Source posts.

Basic writing outline

Open with one specific point. Show your reasoning with a supported example. End with a focused question.

Peer comparison, private AI strategy, extensive schedules and downloadable writing skills are coming with Profilyst Pro. They are not included in this public report.

Source posts

POST 1

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_buildinpublic-ai-activity-7505268577428500480-FIWx

Published: 2026-09-14T14:17:50.005Z. Reactions: 23. Comments: 1. Format: image.

AR.bd is live. Built with AI, and made for AI to read, too.

I’ll admit, having my initials on a two-character .bd domain is part of the appeal.

Building the website gave me another opportunity to work closely with AI. I used it throughout the process: design, code, content structure, SEO, testing and deployment.

I stayed involved in the decisions. We went through revisions, checked the live results, and simplified things whenever the design became more complicated than it needed to be.

The site brings together my companies, writing and the AI projects I’m working on. You can explore the project map, read my notes, or ask my AI about my work.

There’s also a layer for AI agents: structured public content and an MCP endpoint for finding projects,

searching my writing and reading published notes.

That felt right for a personal website at this point in my journey. A place people can explore, with information their AI tools can access as well.

Visit: https://ar.bd

masifrahman.com and asif.im also take you to the same site.

I'd love to hear what catches your interest, especially if you find a project you could use.

#BuildInPublic #AI

POST 2

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_aiscan-has-now-run-more-than-3400-scans-activity-7504898782531321857-xeST

Published: 2026-09-13T13:48:24.031Z. Reactions: 12. Comments: 3. Format: text.

AIScan has now run more than 3,400 scans.

The part I did not expect: most of them never came from the website.

They came from the API, from MCP, from the command line. I built one scanner, then kept adding different ways to reach it — because the people who care about this problem don't all work in the same place.

Seven ways in today:

[U+2192] Website — paste a URL

[U+2192] CLI — npx aiscan-cli amarip.com, 20 seconds, no install

[U+2192] MCP server — 13 tools, so Claude or Cursor can scan a site, read the failing checks and fix them for you

[U+2192] Telegram bot — send it a domain, get the grade back

[U+2192] REST API — OpenAPI 3.1

[U+2192] Chrome extension

[U+2192] A Claude Code skill

Same engine behind all of them.

Why any of this matters: an AI agent reads your website nothing like a human does. It never sees your design, your animation, your hero image. It looks for a handful of small files — and most sites have never shipped a single one of them. That is how a genuinely good website ends up invisible to ChatGPT.

The free scan stays free. I only charge for the daily monitoring, because that is the part that costs money to run.

Use whichever one you already work in. Links in the first comment.

POST 3

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_vibecoding-ai-buildinpublic-activity-7503139952315170816-PRol

Published: 2026-09-08T17:19:26.229Z. Reactions: 24. Comments: 3. Format: image.

I was checking my Replit profile for something else and noticed a badge I hadn't seen before.

[U+1F3C6] Level 5, Master Builder. Top 1% of Replit Agent users.

I didn't know it existed. Nobody sent me an email. It was just sitting there, and I'd apparently earned it at some point without noticing.

So I looked up what it actually measures. From under the badge, word for word:

[U+1F4CC] "Operates deployed applications on Replit that serve live traffic and handle requests from real users."

Not prompts sent. Not hours inside the tool.

Live apps. Real users. That's the whole test.

That made sense to me, because here's how those apps got there [U+1F447]

[U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501]

[U+1F6E0][U+FE0F] I started vibe coding in 2024. Replit was my go-to that first year, and I went all in — probably too far in.

Over the past two years, across Replit, Claude Code and Lovable, I've built hundreds of small SaaS tools and apps.

Not hundreds of startups. Hundreds of problems.

Almost every one started the same way:

[U+21B3] Something in my own work or life was annoying me

[U+21B3] Instead of searching for a tool, I built one

[U+21B3] Deployed it, and left it running

[U+2708][U+FE0F] One example: visa4.travel — a simple visa-eligibility checker. I travel to a lot of countries, and I was tired of googling the same question every trip. So I built it. Now it answers that question for other people too.

I don't build at that pace anymore. But the things I built are still live, still serving people — and that is what the badge was quietly counting.

[U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501]

[U+1F4A1] Which is the only point I want to make today:

It doesn't matter if you're technical or not.

If you're not solving your own problems with AI, you're probably not using it properly.

Don't go to AI asking "what can this do?"

Go with one annoying problem of your own. Everything else starts from there.

[U+1F4AC] What's the last problem of your own you solved with AI? Even a tiny one counts.

#VibeCoding #AI #BuildInPublic #Replit #ClaudeCode #Lovable #SaaS #Founders

POST 4

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_xspeed-wordpress-scan-activity-7500162138343141377-zLVx

Published: 2026-08-31T12:06:40.054Z. Reactions: 20. Comments: 3. Format: image.

My own website scored 75 out of 100 on my own speed scanner.

That's a C.

[U+1F50E] I posted the scanner yesterday. 486 websites have been scanned since — 721 scans in under a day.

Here's what that pile of real sites actually looks like:

[U+1F7E5] 158 F

[U+1F7E7] 149 D

[U+1F7E8] 93 C

[U+1F7E9] 57 B

[U+1F7E9] 20 A

[U+2B50] 9 A+

63% score below 70.

47% run with no CDN at all.

Of the 175 WordPress sites, 82 have no caching plugin installed.

Median time to first byte: 223ms.

So no — this isn't a post about how everyone else is slow. We're in the C pile with most of you.

[U+26A1] But the number that actually surprised me is 93.

93 of the sites scanned are Next.js. Another 11 Astro, 9 Nuxt, 7 Lovable, 5 Shopify.

The web that showed up to be measured is not the web I expected — and most of those people can't install a WordPress plugin to fix any of it.

So we did something different with the report.

[U+1F916] Every scan produces two documents, not one.

One for you to read. One for your AI agent to read.

[U+21B3] Scan your site

[U+21B3] Keep the report URL

[U+21B3] Paste that URL into Claude, Cursor, Lovable — whatever you build with

The agent fetches it and gets the machine-readable version automatically: every failing check, the evidence behind it, the exact fix, and the official guideline the rule comes from.

Not a screenshot of a score. An instruction set it can act on.

[U+1F3AF] And it knows your stack.

If it detects Lovable, it won't tell you to set Cache-Control headers — Lovable rewrites those after your worker returns, so that advice would cost you a build cycle for nothing. It tells you what actually works there instead.

Same for Next.js, Astro, Nuxt, Shopify. WordPress too, obviously.

[U+2705] Free. No signup. No login.

We built it because I got tired of speed reports that hand you a number and leave you to work out the rest.

The number is the least useful part — which is lucky, because ours is a C.

[U+1F447] Scan your site, hand the URL to your AI assistant, and tell me what it did with it.

#xSpeed #WordPress #Scan #PageSpeed #GTMetrix #

POST 5

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_xspeed-scanner-activity-7499823601668374529-9REt

Published: 2026-08-30T13:41:26.621Z. Reactions: 29. Comments: 1. Format: image.

Before I told anyone our new speed scanner existed, I pointed it at our own sites.

Best score: 83. Worst: 59.

That was a humbling morning. [U+1F605]

Here's the part that stung. Every one of those sites sits on good infrastructure. TTFB between 154ms and 208ms. Brotli compression everywhere. Cache hit on every single request.

The server was never the problem.

[U+1F4C9] One product site: 96 on desktop, 57 on mobile

[U+1F4C9] Another: 92 on desktop, 58 on mobile

[U+1F4C9] Our docs site: 72 on desktop, 43 on mobile — shipping a 7.8MB page

We had been reading the desktop number and feeling fine.

Our readers were on the other one.

———

[U+1F914] Why did I build this at all?

Honestly, laziness.

I was going back and forth between Lighthouse and GTmetrix all day to check our own work. Open a tab. Run it. (Or Via MCP, with api limit) Wait. Read a wall of forty audits. Try to work out which three actually mattered.

Then do it again on the next site.

I had already solved this shape of problem once — I built AIScan.site to check whether a site is readable by AI agents. One URL in, one score out, one clear next step.

So I built the speed version.

———

[U+26A1] xSpeed Scan is live, and free

[U+1F310] Any website. Not just WordPress. Not just mine.

[U+1F513] No signup, no email, no credit card.

[U+23F1][U+FE0F] About 40 seconds.

[U+1F517] Every report gets its own shareable URL, desktop and mobile screenshots, a score card you can download, and the full scan history for that domain.

But the part I actually care about is what happens after the score.

A list of 20 audits is homework. Nobody does homework.

[U+2705] So every failing check comes back with one thing you can act on immediately — the copy-paste prompt that fixes it. Paste it into ChatGPT or Claude and go.

[U+1F916] And if you would rather not be in the middle at all: every report has a machine-readable twin at the same URL. Connect it and your AI agent reads the report, applies the fixes, and re-runs the scan to verify — without a human relaying numbers between two tools.

That last bit is why I built this now and not in 2019.

The audience for a performance report is not only a developer anymore.

———

[U+1F449] Go scan something you own: https://lnkd.in/g_H9ZTjP

Then scan a competitor. I won't tell. [U+1F609]

And if you want the other half — whether AI agents can actually read your site — that one is at https://aiscan.site

Drop your score in the comments. Mine are up there, including the 59.

I'll tell you which single fix moves yours the most.

POST 6

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_i-needed-a-tool-that-didnt-exist-so-i-activity-7498336706170032129-DrFL

Published: 2026-08-26T11:13:03.036Z. Reactions: 29. Comments: 1. Format: video.

I needed a tool that didn't exist.

So I built it in a day, and gave it away.

Here's what happened.

I run Umami for analytics across 20+ sites. Self-hosted, privacy-first, open source. On xCloud it's a one-click deploy, which is why I never moved to anything else.

Yesterday I wanted to stop opening the dashboard.

I just wanted to ask: "how did this site do last week, and where did the traffic come from?"

For that, a tool needs an MCP server. That's the piece that lets an AI actually use your software instead of describing it.

Umami didn't have one.

A few people had built community versions. I tried them.

Here's the part that cost me an afternoon:

They were written for Umami v2. Umami is on v3 now, and v3 renamed things.

[U+21B3] They don't crash

[U+21B3] They return a clean, confident response

[U+21B3] With data for the wrong thing

That's a worse failure than an error. An error you notice. A wrong number you repeat in a meeting.

So I built my own. One day.

And the first thing it told me wasn't a number.

It flagged a broken link on my own site. Something had been following it for weeks. No dashboard would ever have shown me that, because it isn't a metric. It's a detail sitting in a list nobody scrolls.

Then I looked at what I'd made and felt slightly stupid.

Umami is free. Open source. The entire promise is that you own your data.

And I'd just built the missing piece for myself and stopped there.

So I released it.

[U+21B3] 28 tools, covering every report type in Umami v3

[U+21B3] Read-only by default, write access is opt-in

[U+21B3] Runs on your machine, credentials never leave it

[U+21B3] MIT licensed, free forever

One line:

npx -y @asif2bd/umami-mcp

Here's what I actually took from this.

In the agent era, open source has an advantage nobody has priced in yet.

When a closed tool is missing something, you file a feature request and wait two quarters.

When an open one is missing something, you build it. Then you hand it back, and it's there for everyone who comes after you.

Umami had no MCP server on Monday. It has one now.

That's the whole difference.

Which tool do you use every day that still has no MCP?

Name it below. I'm genuinely curious which gap is worth closing next.

POST 7

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_xcloud-v283-32-new-one-click-apps-activity-7498038511598669824-epWv

Published: 2026-08-25T15:28:07.958Z. Reactions: 34. Comments: 1. Format: image.

AI has made building software dramatically easier.

Hosting it is still stuck in the old world.

Most cloud platforms ask you to choose a server, stack, and size before you even decide what you want to run.

That never made sense to me.

With xCloud v2.8.3, we reversed the flow.

Start with the application—WordPress, a Git project, an open-source app, or an AI agent. xCloud then identifies compatible servers and guides you toward the right infrastructure when something different is

needed.

This release also brings:

[U+2192] 32 new One-Click Apps

[U+2192] A completely redesigned Server Overview

[U+2192] Live CPU, RAM, and disk monitoring

[U+2192] A much simpler way to connect OpenAI Codex and Claude Code

[U+2192] Direct environment management for Hermes Agent

[U+2192] 10+ improvements and reliability fixes

The feature list is useful. But the direction matters more.

App-first, not server-first

1/ Pick the app first — WordPress, a Git project, a One-Click App, an AI agent [U+21B3] The workload defines the infrastructure, not the other way around

2/ See which of your existing servers can actually run it [U+21B3] Compatible servers surface first, with their site count for capacity context

3/ Know what you're missing before you provision [U+21B3] Wrong stack or not enough RAM is a message, not a failed deploy

4/ Create a compatible managed server inside the same flow [U+21B3] No bouncing between dashboards

5/ Compare plans, backups and pricing on one screen [U+21B3] Instead of four

Small change on paper. It removed the biggest single category of "why did my deploy fail" tickets we had.

xCloud started by making WordPress server management easier. Now we’re extending that same simplicity to apps, automations, and AI agents.

Build anything. Host anything. By yourself.

What should we make one-click next?

#SelfHosting #DevOps #CloudHosting #AIAgents

POST 8

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/asif2bd_%E0%A6%97%E0%A6%A4-%E0%A6%AA%E0%A6%B8%E0%A6%9F-%E0%A6%9C%E0%A6%9C%E0%A6%9E%E0%A6%B8-%E0%A6%95%E0%A6%B0%E0%A6%9B%E0%A6%B2%E0%A6%AE-%E0%A6%95%E0%A6%A8-market-%E0%A6%8F%E0%A6%B0-activity-7493945609029128192-ssT2

Published: 2026-08-14T08:24:23.949Z. Reactions: 24. Comments: 0. Format: text.

গত পোস্টে জিজ্ঞেস করেছিলাম — কোন market-এর Business Route নিয়ে আলাদা করে লিখব?

সবচেয়ে বেশি ভোট পড়েছে [U+1F1E8][U+1F1E6] Canada-তে।

গত সপ্তাহেই Toronto থেকে ফিরলাম। তিন বছরে গিয়েছি কয়েকবার — conference, road trip, অসংখ্য founder-এর সঙ্গে বসা। তাই এটা Google করে লেখা না।

Disclaimer: আমি visa consultant বা immigration expert নই। একজন founder, যে অনেক founder-কে কাছ থেকে দেখেছে।

Founder-দের জন্য আমি মূলত ৪টা পথ দেখেছি:

1. Founder PR

Profile-এর point দিয়ে সরাসরি PR, তারপর সেখানে গিয়েই company দাঁড় করানো। Business এখানে যাওয়ার কারণ না, যাওয়ার পরের কাজ।

2. Spouse Route

Spouse যান study visa-তে, আপনি dependent হিসেবে। প্রথম বছর similar field-এ job — business culture ভেতর থেকে বোঝার সেরা উপায়। Work hours পূরণ হলে PR apply; অনেক ক্ষেত্রে spouse-এর আগেই আপনার PR আসে।

3. Start-up Visa

Designated organization-এর support letter লাগে, idea scalable হতে হয়। সবচেয়ে আকর্ষণীয় শোনায় — কিন্তু ওই support letter-ই আসল খেলা।

4. ICT — Intra-Company Transfer

LMIA নেই, IELTS নেই, education requirement নেই — "significant benefit to Canadian economy" category. Process-এ মাসখানেক, day one থেকে PR benefit, এক বছর পর PR file. শর্ত একটাই: real, running business.

এই route-টা যোগ করেছে আমার ছোট ভাই Rifat Ahmed, যার বাসায় Canada-তে থেকেছি।

চারটা route আলাদা। গেট একটাই — running business, real revenue, real team।

[U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501]

এবার ৪টা সৎ কথা, যেগুলো কম বলা হয়:

[U+1F976] The cold. শীতে -৪০, -৪৫। বের হতে ৩০ মিনিটের প্রস্তুতি, প্রায় astronaut-এর মতো। আমি নিজে শুধু এই কারণেই Canada-তে settle করব না।

[U+1F4B0] Vancouver-এ ঠান্ডা কম, কিন্তু খরচ অসম্ভব বেশি।

[U+1F54C] সন্তান লালন-পালন। বেশিরভাগ province-এর social environment ও school curriculum আমাদের চেনা পরিবেশ থেকে আলাদা। ছোট বাচ্চাদের নিজেদের মূল্যবোধে বড় করতে অনেক পরিবারকেই বাড়তি সচেতন থাকতে হয়। এটা গুজব না — আমি একাধিক পরিবারকে চিনি যারা শুধু এই কারণেই Canada ছেড়েছেন।

[U+1F9FE] Tax. Canada-র tax resident হলে worldwide income ও সম্পদ report করতে হয় — বাংলাদেশের property, বিদেশে registered company, বিক্রি থেকে আসা লাভ, সবই। যে founder-এর company একদিন অনেক valuable হতে পারে , তার জন্য এটা ছোট বিষয় না। আগে একজন ভালো cross-border accountant-এর সঙ্গে বসুন।

[U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501][U+2501]

তবে এটা আমার মত, universal সত্য না। আমার পরিচিত অনেক founder সেখানে দারুণ ভালো আছেন — ঠান্ডা তাদের কাছে

কিছুই না।

আমার কাছে যেটা deal-breaker, আপনার কাছে হয়তো কিছুই না। সিদ্ধান্ত নিন — কিন্তু চোখ খোলা রেখে।

[U+1F449] এই ৪টার মধ্যে কোনটা আপনার profile-এর সাথে মিলছে? 1, 2, 3 নাকি 4 — comment-এ শুধু number-টা লিখুন।

প্রতিটা পয়েন্টের অনেক বিস্তারিত version লিখেছি আমার Facebook-এ (Asif2BD)।

আর কোন অংশটা নিয়ে আরও detail চান — comment-এ বলুন, আমি comment-এই যোগ করে দেব।

Next: Door 2 — The Job Route.

বাঙালিকে বিশ্বমুখী জাতি হতে হবে। [U+1F30D]

[U+26A0][U+FE0F] UPDATE: উপরের 3 আর প্রযোজ্য না — Start-up Visa বন্ধ। IRCC ডিসেম্বর ২০২৫-এ নতুন application নেওয়া বন্ধ করেছে; শেষ window ৩০ জুন ২০২৬। ধন্যবাদ Nuruzzaman Milon ও Shahnoor Chowdhury Eshan [U+1F64F]

Saved audit comparison

Compared with 2026-09-13T19:25:22.470Z. Elapsed: 1 days. Follower change: Unavailable. Matching measured posts: 7. Median interaction change: 0.

Follower changes require snapshots at least one day apart. Interaction changes compare matching post IDs and include time spent accumulating engagement; they do not measure the effect of a content strategy.

Methodology and limits

The source returned a shortened About section. Suggestions use only the visible excerpt.

Some profile sections were not returned by the source. They remain unknown, not confirmed missing from LinkedIn.

Selected URLs may omit recent or low-performing posts. This is a sample, not a complete feed.

Reaction and comment totals are snapshots. Older posts had more time to accumulate interactions.

Themes can overlap and use English keyword rules. Hooks, CTAs and mentions are text heuristics and may miss context or other languages.

Timing is UTC publishing history, not audience activity or an optimal posting time.

Recommendations and potential impact are hypotheses. No impressions, revenue, private demographics, peer percentile or causal attribution are available.

Engagement = average visible reactions plus comments / current followers × 100, requiring at least three measured posts. Missing values remain unavailable. Cadence uses the intervals between sampled dates. No uncalibrated score or private metric is inferred.

Independent public-data analysis. Not affiliated with LinkedIn or the profile owner. https://profilyst.com/methodology

Unsupported glyphs are preserved as Unicode code points [U+...]. Full original text is available in the online report.